# Queensland | 30 June 2021 | Quarterly Performance Dashboard


## **Participants and Planning**

Participant Pathway Experience	As at 30 Jun	As at 31 Mar
Active participants (excluding ECEI)	92,742	88,634
Children in the ECEI gateway receiving Initial Supports	3,494	3,053
Children in the ECEI gateway not receiving Initial Supports	85	92
Proportion of participants fully or partially self managing their plan	31%	32%
Proportion of plans activated within 90 days*	86%	87%
Number of participant plan reviews completed in quarter**	17,394	18,296
Access decisions in progress	1,945	2,193
Participant Service Guarantee (PSG) - proportion which met target in quarter***		
2: Access decision made or further information requested within 21 days of an access request	100%	100%
4: Access decision made within 14 days of final information being provided	98%	98%
5: Commence facilitating the preparation of a plan, within 21 days of an access decision being made****	70%	74%
6: First plan approved after access decision has been made for participants aged 7 or above, within 56 days*****	76%	75%
7: First plan approved after access decision has been made for participants aged 0 to 6, within 90 days	96%	95%
11: Commence facilitating a scheduled plan review 56 days prior to the scheduled review date****	40%	34%
12: Decision made to undertake Participant Requested Reviews (PRRs) within 21 days	91%	100%
13: Participant Requested Reviews (PRRs) completed after decision made within 42 days	79%	71%
17: Reviews of Reviewable Decisions (RoRDs) completed after request is received within 90 days	94%	92%

<sup>\*</sup> Trial participants are excluded. Participants with initial plans approved after the end of 2020-21 Q2 have been excluded. They are relatively new and it is too early to examine their durations to activation.

<sup>\*\*\*\*\*</sup> The target timeframe for PSG 6 has been reduced from 70 to 56 days in early 2021. The result for the June and March 2021 quarters are based on the 56 day timeframe.





### Performance summary:

- 99,367 participants have entered the Scheme (incl ECEI) since July 2013 and currently reside in Queensland. 96,236 of these continue to be active.
- 50,138 active participants are receiving supports for the first time.
- In the current quarter, 4,301 participants have entered the Scheme and there are 3,494 children with initial supports in the ECEI gateway at the end of June 2021.
- 17,394 plans have been reviewed this quarter.
- 6,095 access decisions have been made in the quarter, 4,683 of which met access and are still active as at 30 June 2021.
- 525 (12.2%) of the new active participants this quarter identified as Indigenous, taking the total number of Indigenous participants in QLD to 8.787 (9.5%).
- 229 (5.3%) of the new active participants this quarter are Culturally and Linguistically Diverse (CALD), taking the total number of CALD participants in QLD to 5,156 (5.6%).

<sup>\*\*</sup> Plans less than 31 days in duration have been excluded. The number of plan reviews during the March 2021 quarter have been restated at 30 June 2021 due to retrospective changes in underlying data.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>The results for the PSG have been restated at 30 June 2021 due to retrospective changes in underlying data.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup> The logic used to measure these PSG timeframes has changed based on the use of new interactions in the CRM system. The result for PSG5 and PSG11 for the June and March 2021 quarters uses the new logic. Despite current underachievement of PSG 11 regarding facilitating scheduled reviews, the NDIA's new participant check-in process ensures that every scheduled review begins with a contact from the planner or partner to discuss review options well before any scheduled review date.

# **Queensland | 30 June 2021 | Quarterly Performance Dashboard**

## **Provider and Market Metrics**

Market supply and participant costs	As at 30 Jun	As at 31 Mar
Total number of active providers (with at least one claim ever)*	6,056	5,772
Total number of active providers in last quarter*	2,576	2,550
Utilisation (6 month rolling average with 3 month lag) (%)	73%	70%
Plan utilisation by service district (% of service districts that are more than 10 percentage points below the benchmark)**	0%	0%
Market concentration (% of service districts where more than 85% of payments for supports go to the top 10 providers)	0%	0%
Payments paid within 5 days (portal) (%)	99.8%	99.8%
Growth in annualised plan budget (current quarter reviews %)***	-3.5%	-1.2%

<sup>\*</sup> Active providers refer to those who have received payment for supporting Agency-managed participants.

- The number of active providers at the end of June is 6,056, growing by 5% in the quarter.
- Utilisation was 73% from 1 October 2020 to 31 March 2021, with no service districts in Queensland more than 10 percentage points below the adjusted national benchmark.
- None of the service districts have the top 10 providers providing more than 85% of payments.


#### Distribution of service districts by plan utilisation\*\* Distribution of service districts by market concentration More than 10 percentage points above the Less than 45% of payments going to the 10 6 (46%) adjusted national benchmark largest providers Between 45% and 65% of payments going 5 (38%) Between 5 and 10 percentage points above to the 10 largest providers 2 (15%) the adjusted national benchmark Between 65% and 85% of payments going 2 (15%) to the 10 largest providers Within 5 percentage points of the adjusted 11 (85%) national benchmark Service districts closest to benchmark: Between 85% and 90% of payments going - Bundaberg: 80% vs 85% benchmark to the 10 largest providers Service districts below benchmark: - Maryborough: 69% vs 85% benchmark Between 5 and 10 percentage points below - Rockhampton: 66% vs 71% benchmark - Mackay: 70% vs 72% benchmark the adjusted national benchmark Between 90% and 95% of payments going - Toowoomba: 72% vs 73% benchmark to the 10 largest providers - Townsville: 72% vs 73% benchmark More than 10 percentage points below the More than 95% of payments going to the 10 adjusted national benchmark largest providers 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% 40% 60% 80% 100%

<sup>\*\*</sup> The 'benchmark' in this analysis is the national average after adjusting for the proportion of participants in supported independent living in each service district and the length of time participants have been in the Scheme.


<sup>\*\*\*</sup> The rate of growth for the March 2021 quarter has been restated due to retrospective changes in the underlying data.

# **Queensland | 30 June 2021 | Quarterly Performance Dashboard**

## **Service District Summaries**



### Average annualised committed supports and utilisation by service district<sup>^</sup>



- \* 'Other' includes participants with service district information missing.
- ^ Given the small size of the Other group, average annualised committed supports and utilisation for Other are not shown.
- Brisbane has the highest number of active participants (17,266), while Bundaberg has the lowest (2,762).
- · Cairns has the highest average annualised committed supports.
- Robina has the highest utilisation at 76%, whilst Rockhampton has the lowest utilisation at 66%.
- Only utilisation of committed supports from 1 October 2020 to 31 March 2021 is shown, as experience in the most recent 3 months is still emerging.

## **Participant Outcomes and Satisfaction**

Participant Outcomes and Satisfaction		
Participant and Scheme Outcome metrics from the Corporate Plan as at 30 June 2021*	Latest review	Baseline
- Participant employment rate - ages 15 and over	18%	18%
- Participant social and community engagement rate - ages 15 and over	46%	38%
- Parent and carer employment rate - all ages	45%	42%
% of Participants rating their overall experience as Very Good or Good by pathway stage – current quarter	2020-21 Q4	2020-21 Q3
- Access	77%	77%
- Pre-planning	76%	79%
- Planning	83%	84%
- Plan review	72%	72%

<sup>\*</sup> These Outcomes results only include participants who have been in the Scheme for at least two years. Trial participants are excluded. The measures compare the responses at the participants' most recent plan review, with the result at Scheme entry (Baseline).

